National Capital Region Planning Board 1st Floor, Core-IV-B, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi-110003.

File No: Y-13/77/2022 (C. No: 9132923) Dated: 24.04.2023

Sub: Pre-Bid Meeting held on 10.04.2023 at India Habitat Centre, New Delhi.

Sir / Madam,

A Pre-Bid Meeting was held on 10.04.2023 at Maple Hall, India Habitat Centre, Lodhi Road, New Delhi with representatives of the prospective bidders in connection with NCRPB's Tender (ID No. 2023_NCRPB_704361) for undertaking works relating to preparation of Five (05) Functional Plans as per Regional Plan-2041 proposals for NCR.

2. Clarifications on the queries raised by prospective bidders during the aforesaid pre-bid meeting and subsequently through emails are given in **Annexure I**.

This issues with the approval of the Member Secretary, NCRPB.

Encl. As above.

Sd/-(Jagdish Parwani) Director (A&F)

To

All concerned.

ANNEXURE-I

Pre- Bid Queries received from various prospective bidders (till 11-04-2023) and NCRPB's reply/ clarifications on the same

1. M/s. IPE Global Limited Email dated 22.03.2023

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarificatio ns |
|-------|--|------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1.1 | Request for Standar | rd Form of Contract | | |
| | | | We are unable to download "Standard | Necessary action |
| | | | Form of Contract" from the provided | was taken on |
| | | | link on the NCRPB website. Therefore, | NCRPB website |
| | | | we request you to kindly share the | by correcting the |
| | | | 'Standard Form of Contract' for the | uploading link for |
| | | | project on mail OR update the link of | "Standard Form of |
| | | | same on the website. | Contract" on |
| | | | | 22.03.2023. |

2. M/s. IPE Global Limited Email dated 31.03.2023 Functional Plans for "Tourism and Heritage Sector" reg.

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 2.1 | 3.2 Deliverables | | We understand the time period of entire | Yes, the time period of |
| | and Time/ | | assignment is 38 weeks + time taken in | entire assignment is 38 |
| | Payment Schedule | | Approval of Report/Functional Plan + | weeks + time taken in |
| | | | one year handholding support. | Approval of |
| | (Page no. 12) | | | Report/Functional Plan |
| | | | | + one year handholding |
| | | | | support. |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Cont | ent o | of RF | Q cum | ı RFP | P | oints of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|--|--|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| | | 5 Approval of Report 5 Report Functional Plan 6 Handholding Support for one year after approval of Functional Plan for onboarding the states for FP implementation | 30+ soft copy 30+ soft copy | 11 weeks Subject to approval | 38 weeks Subject to approval | 25% will be payable on submission of Final Report 20% will be payable as Payment on approval of Functional Plan 10% (2% each at the end of 1st, 2nd & 3rd quarter and remaining 4% at the end of 4th quarter) will be payable as Final payment on completion of handling support for one year | • | There is ambiguity on the time and level of effort that would go in Approval of Report/Functional Plan. Thus, we request to kindly clarify the approval process of Final Report and tentative timeline for the approval so that this can be considered in financial proposal. | • Duration of approval of FP is a relative factor based on the submission and cannot be ascertained in advance. The approval of FPs involve meeting of the Statutory Planning Committee and views of NCR participating states, etc. and cannot be ascertained in advance. |
| 2.2 | | A C | | | C | | • | There is lack of clarity on the amount of effort and travel that would be required in handholding support of one year, so we request to convert this one year period into retainership basis/man-month basis. While payments till Final Report can be deliverable based. This will | • Bidding entities should prepare their financial bids considering all expenses that may be required during the handholding support duration. |
| 2.2 | Section-3: Information to Bidding Entities; 3.3 Support by Successful Bidding Entity after approval of | Functional bidding er and handhodate of Functional further for | ntity oldin app Plar on b | an(s), will ng for broval n(s) for | provious one ye of or taking the | respective successful de support ar from the respective g the plans e states and ementation | | remove the ambiguity both for client and consultant | |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | | Conten | t of RFQ | cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|---|--|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | Functional Plan(s) i) | | | | ns which could vs, workshops, | | |
| | (Page no. 13) | to MoHetc., Clien reduce communication clien | various IUA, N as and nt. The ce its fu fort leve tain qu t. Such | agencies/ CR partic when re successf Il time ex I in this pe ality of s costs can | departments, departments, departments, departments, departments, departments by the full bidder can aperts as per its deriod, subject to deservices to the department of the financial departments. | | |
| 2.3 | Table II-1: Core | S. | Expe | Qualifi | Area of | Tourism Consultancy is relatively a | Request not accepted. |
| | Team Composition for Tourism and Heritage Sector functional Plan Bidding entity (Page 44) | 2 N o. | Touri sm Plann ing and Devel opme nt Exper t (Dep uty Team Lead er) | cations and Experi ence Masters Degree in Touris m with 07 Years of Experie nce | Tourism Planning and Development [Eco- Tourism, Health tourism, Village Tourism, MICE, etc.] | very new but niche field in India where only few experts hold good understanding of developing tourism holistically. IPE Global has emerged as one of the finest consultancy firms providing end to end solutions. Some of our exemplary works in India are realising/developing unique product of first water villa in India in the islands of Lakshadweep; developing Mahakal Lok in Ujjain, etc. IPE Global has good resources & associates holding excellent understanding of Tourism Planning & Development who are capable of | |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | | Conte | nt of RF | Q cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|----|----------------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| 2.4 | Table II-1: Core | S. | Exp | Qualif | Area of | undertaking the work but not holding Master Degree in Tourism, still performing metter than experts holding Masters Degree in Tourism. We thus request to kindly relax this to Master Degree in Tourism/Planning /Architecture so that competent experts are not left out from participation. The Area Specific Expertise of Tourism | Request not accepted. |
| | Team Composition for Tourism and Heritage Sector functional Plan Bidding entity (Page 44) | 6. | Ne w Tou rism Ave nue s Exp erts | icatio ns and Exper ience Master s Degre e in Touris m and Hospit ality Manag ement with 05 Years of Experi ence | Specific Expertise Tourism and Hospitality Management including Human Resource Planning and Tourism Development | Hospitality Expert and New Tourism Avenues Experts is same, however, we believe that unlike Tourism Hospitality Expert, Tourism Avenues Expert will be responsible for developing new tourism products which are not limited to hospitality industry (hotels/resorts, etc) and Human Resource Planning but has to envisage creative and new products which are demand oriented. Such Professionals can be from varied field other than Tourism & Hospitality Management but holding good and relevant experience in the Tourism Product Development sector. Thus, we request to relax the qualification to: Master Degree in Tourism and Hospitality Management / Planning/ Design/ MBA/ equivalent so that the level of participation of competent | |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Co | ontent of RFQ | cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| | | | | | professionals holding relevant experience is not restrictive. | |
| 2.5 | Table II-1: Core Team Composition for Tourism and Heritage Sector functional Plan Bidding entity (Page 44) | 8 G ap In m n Sy m (C Pr sie (0 | Syste Years | Area of Specific Expertise Mapping, spatial analysis related to tourism, Culture and heritage sector | There are number of professional from Planning and Architecture background who hold required expertise and relevant experience while there are negligible professionals with required qualification holding relevant experience, especially in tourism domain. So, we request to relax the qualification criteria as - Degree in GIS/Planning/Architecture with 03 Years of Experience. | Request not accepted. |
| 2.6 | Table II-1: Core Team Composition for Tourism and Heritage Sector functional Plan Bidding entity (Page 45) | N iso | ions and Expe rienc e Couris Degr | Area of Specific Expertise Tourism, Culture and Heritage Sector in General | The qualification required is ambiguous, as no such degree tilted 'Tourism, Culture, Heritage' exist in India. Thus we request to kindly relax the Degree as: Any Graduate/ Degree or Degree in Tourism/Architecture/Planning/ Economics/equivalent so that a junior resource having relevant experience in field suiting to the requirement of the project can be deployed. | Request not accepted. |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|---|---|---|
| 2.7 | Note: | Profess Herit age secto r with 03 Year s of Experienc e | We understand that experts does not | No change in the existing |
| | (Page 45) | permanent rolls of the bidding entity or consultants specific to the assignment. iv) Bidder(s) may engage staff as required / deemed necessary. | have to be necessarily on permanent rolls of the bidding entity. Please confirm. Given the kind of experts required, we believe no firm in India shall have all experts on permanent rolls. | clause of the RFQ-cum-RFP. |
| | Changes/ Clarity red | quired in the draft Contract Agreeme | nt | |
| 2.8 | Section-9: Standard Form of Contract; II. General Conditions of Contract; 1. General Provisions; 1.8 | The Consultant will provide performance guarantee of 10% of the contract value, for a period of 18 months beyond the approval of final report. The format for performance guarantee is annexed at Appendix-G. | There's a discord in the Performance Guarantee stated in the RFP (@3%) and Contract (@10%). Please note that the Department of Expenditure has reduced the amount of PBG to 3% upto 31.03.2023 [Please refer page nos. 62-63 of the Manual for | The Performance Guarantee may be read as 3% of the contract value with validity for a period of 14 months beyond the approval of final report, at all places wherever mentioned in the tender document. |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|---|---|--|
| | Performance Guarantee (Page no 7) Section-3: Information to Bidding Entities; 3.17 Other conditions of payment; 3.17.4 of RFP (page no. 24 of RFP) | RFP Clause (page no. 24): The successful bidder will have to provide a Performance Guarantee @ 3% of the project value as per the following details: a) The performance guarantee shall be valid for a period of 14 months beyond the approval of final report. | Procurement of Consultancy & Other Services, June 2022(Refer attachement)]. We request to consider 3% of Performance Guarantee on the base Contract Value i.e. without GST. | |
| 2.9 | Section-9: Standard Form of Contract; II. General Conditions of Contract; 2. Commencement, Completion, Modification and Termination of Contract; 2.2 Commencement of Services (Page 8) | The Consultant shall begin carrying out the Services immediately on issuance of Letter of Award (LOA) by Client and acceptance of the same by the Consultant or on signing of this agreement by both the parties, whichever is earlier. | Generally, the commencement of services begin within 15 days from the date of acceptance of LoI or the signing of the Contract. | No change in the existing clause of the RFQ-cum-RFP. |
| 2.10 | Section-9: Standard Form of Contract; II. | For a period of two years after the expiration of this Contract, the Consultant shall not engage, and shall cause their Personnel as well as their | The clause stated here is the binding clause for a period of 2 years after expiration of this Contract. IPE Global agree to not accept any assignment or | Request not accepted. |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|--|---|-------------------------------|
| | General Conditions of Contract; 3. Obligations of the Consultant; 3.2.2 Consultant and Affiliates Not to Be Otherwise Interested in Project (Page 10) Section-3: Information to Bidding Entities; 3.15 Award of Contract; 3.15.7 (page no. 23 of RFP) | same period of time from engaging in the said activities. | Be Otherwise Interested in Project: During the tenure of this Contract, the Consultant shall not accept any assignment or participate in any bid which is in conflict with the interest of Client. Also, the Consultant shall not engage, in the activity of a purchaser (directly or indirectly) of the assets on which they advised the Client under this Contract, nor shall they engage in the activity of an adviser (directly or indirectly) of potential purchasers of such assets, which will be directly in conflict of interest with the current assignment under this Contract and during the tenure of this Contract. The Consultant also agree that their affiliates shall be disqualified for the same period of time from engaging in the said activities. | |
| 2.11 | Section-9: Standard Form of Contract; II. General Conditions of Contract; 3. | The Consultant, their Sub-consultants, and the Personnel of either of them shall not, either during the term or within two (2) years after the expiration of this Contract, disclose any proprietary or confidential | The clause mentioned here is restrictive clause for 2 years. IPE Global accepts to not disclose the confidential information during the tenure of the assignment. Thus, we request deleting the mentioned clause and incorporating | Request not accepted. |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|---|--|---|--|
| | Obligations of the Consultant; 3.3 Confidentiality Page 11 | information/documents relating to the Project, the Services, this Contract, or the Client's business or operations without the prior written consent of the Client. The Consultant shall also not use these documents for purposes unrelated to this Contract without the prior written approval of the Client. | "Notwithstanding anything contained in this Contract or elsewhere, the Consultant shall be freely permitted to use references of this Contract and work done under this Contract in the form of milestones, citations, project datasheets, case studies or in any other form as may be necessary for communication to its existing or prospective clients for its own business or in the course of its normal business. However, the Consultant will not disclose the | |
| 2.12 | Section-9: Standard Form of Contract; II. General Conditions of Contract; 3. Obligations of the Consultant; 3.4 Insurance to be taken out by the Consultant Page 11 | valid for a period of 14 months after approval of final report by the client. | confidential information". Professional Liability Insurance shall be till the end of the Contract. We request to kindly modify accordingly. | No change in the existing clause of the RFQ-cum-RFP. |
| 2.13 | Section-9: Standard Form of | Payment shall be made within 45 days of receipt of the bill and the relevant | Generally, the payment is made within 30 days of receipt of bills and requisite documents for stage payments as well as for final payment. We request to kindly modify accordingly. | clause of the RFQ-cum- |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB |
|-------|---|--|---|--|
| 5.110 | Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of Kry cum Kr1 | Tomits of clarification/ consideration | Reply/Clarifications |
| 2.14 | Consultant; 6.4 Terms and Conditions of Payment Page 13 Section-9: Standard Form of Contract; II. General Conditions of Contract; 6. Payments to the Consultant; 6.5 Liquidated Damages for Delay Page 13 | "The Client shall recover from the Consultants as agreed liquidated damages including administrative expenses a sum equivalent to 0.5% (Half percent) of total contractual value, which the consultant has failed to the period fixed for submission of reports each week or part thereof during which the submission is in arrears subject to an overall ceiling of 10% of the total contract price." | The LD of 0.5% of the total contractual agreement for each week is too high; generally, the penalty should be linked to the delayed deliverables/milestones per week. So, the penalty should be 0.5% of the total payment of the delayed deliverable per week. | No change in the existing clause of the RFQ-cum-RFP. |
| 2.15 | Section-9: Standard Form of Contract; II. General Conditions of Contract; 6. Payments to the Consultant; 6.6 Page 13 | If the report submitted by the Consultant is found deficient in the requisite quality, the approving authority of NCRPB can accept the report, while considering other factors, with a penalty of up to 10% of the payment due in this regard to Consultant. This shall be independent of penalty referred to in point 6.5 above. | In the Lump-sum Contract, the payments are linked to deliverables; such is in this case; the payments over here would be released only after acceptance of each deliverable; So, we request following modifications 1. remedial period of 15 days should be given for improvement of deficiency. 2. In case of deficiency after remedial period of that milestone, the payment of only such deliverable may be considered for penalty | Request can be considered. Request not accepted |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| | | | 3. Any penalty shall not exceed the liquidated damage of 10% of the total contract price. | Request not accepted |

3. M/s. IPE Global Limited Email dated 31.03.2023 "Functional Plan Health Sector" – reg.

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|---|---|---|
| 3.1 | Section 3.4 Page no. 14 Point no (iii) and (iv) | In case of delay in submission of the above-mentioned deliverables as per given schedule, a penalty of 0.5% per week of delay subject to maximum of 10% of the payment due in this regard shall be levied. Getting timely clearances from all concerned agency and departments shall be the responsibility successful bidder. | Request to relax this clause in case the delay is due to more than expected time is taken by the client or any line department to supply the required information/data/approval, etc. Please confirm? | Getting timely clearances / required information / data / approval, etc. from all concerned agency and departments shall be the responsibility successful bidder. |
| 3.2 | Section 3.1, Subsection 3.1 point (iv) Page no.10 | The Bidding entities are required to submit their proposals written in English. Each page of the proposal should be signed and stamped. | We understand the digital signatures are considered. Please confirm? | Whereas each page of the proposal can be signed and stamped digitally, all Curriculum Vitae (CVs) for proposed key professional staff should have original-pen signature. |
| 3.3 | Section 3, Subsection 1.2 Table IV-1, S.No.1 Page No 40 | Qualification of Urban/ Regional Planner (Team Leader) is master's degree in planning with 10 Years of Experience | Considering the required scope, request to please include Bachler's in architecture/ B.E/ B. Tech in Civil Engineering/ Bachelors in Geography / Environmental Sciences/Masters in related fields also. | Request not accepted. |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|--|---|-------------------------------|
| 3.4 | Section 3, Subsection 1.3 Table IV-2. S. No 2 | Post-graduation or higher qualification in public health, Community health, Preventive & Social Medicine with 07 Years of Experience | We request to consider Masters in public health/Post graduate diploma in public health, Community health, Preventive & Social Medicine with 07 Years of Experience | Request not accepted. |
| 3.5 | Section 3, Subsection 1.2 Table IV-1, S, No.4 Page no 40 | Qualification of E-Health Infrastructure Expert is master's degree in computer science/ IT with 05 Years of Experience | We request to consider bachelor's degree in computer science/ Information Technology, MCA, B.Tech or any other related field with 05 years of experience? | Request not accepted. |
| 3.6 | Section 3, Subsection 1.2 Table IV-1, S.No.5 Page No 41 | Qualification of Public Health Expert- II is MBBS with Masters/ post- graduate diploma in public health, Health Management, Health Economics, Hospital Management with 05 Years of Experience | We request to consider BDS/BAMS/BHMS/Medical Graduate with Masters/ post-graduate diploma in public health, Health Management, Health Economics, Hospital Management, MPH/MHA with 05 Years of Experience as well | Request not accepted. |
| 3.7 | Section 3, Subsection 4.1 b Table IV-1, S.No.6 Page No 41 | Qualification of Infrastructure Planner is master's degree in Infrastructure Planning with 05 Years of Experience | We request to allow Bachelor's/Master's in urban/ regional planning/Bachelors in Geography/Environmental Studies/any other related fields with 05 years of experience | Request not accepted. |
| 3.8 | Section 3, Subsection 4.1 b Table IV-1, S.No.7 Page No 41 | Post-graduation or higher qualification in Public health, Community health, Preventive & Social Medicine with 05 Years of Experience | We request to allow post-graduation Masters in Public Health/ MBA in hospital and Health Management/ Post graduate diploma in public health, Community health, Preventive & Social Medicine with 05 Years of Experience | Request not accepted. |
| 3.9 | Section 3, Subsection 4.1 b Table IV-1, S.No.8 | Qualification of Geographic Information System (GIS | We request to consider BCA/MCA/B.Tech in Computer | Request not accepted. |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|---|---|--|
| | Page No 41 | Professional) is degree is GIS with 05 years of experience | Science/IT or any other related field with 05 years of experience | |
| 3.10 | Section 3, Subsection 4.1 b Table IV-1, S.No.9 Page No 41 | Qualification of Urban/ Infrastructure Planning Professional is Degree in Urban/ Infrastructure Planning with 03 years of experience | We request to allow Bachelor's/Master's in urban/ regional planning/Bachelors in Geography/Environmental Studies/any other related fields with 03 years of experience | Request not accepted. |
| 3.11 | Section 3.2- Deliverables- Page number 12 | Handholding Support for one year after approval of Functional Plan for on-boarding the states for FP implementation | 1. Can virtual support be provided to NCRPB during handholding support from consultants or they need to be stationed at client location. Please clarify? 2. Are all the experts required during the handholding support? 3. If no, which are the experts the NCRPB is expecting during handholding support. Kindly confirm? 4. Please clarify the intensity of handholding support. Is it regular availability/ support or need based support? | For 01 to 04: Cannot be ascertained in advance. However regarding "handholding support" refer clarification given at point no. 2.1 - 2.2 above. |
| 3.12 | Section 3, Subsection 3.14.3 Page number 20 Point 2 | Evaluation Criteria of Technical proposal- Similar Assignments/Studies | Whether ongoing or completed projects will be considered in the similar assignment/studies? Please clarify? | Ongoing and completed both will be considered as Letter of Award (LoA) is requested. |
| 3.13 | Section 6, sub section 6F Page no 58 | Signature of expert member and Signature of authorized representative of Firm | We understand the digital signatures are considered of both the experts and authorized representative. Please confirm? | Whereas each page of the proposal can be signed and stamped digitally, all Curriculum Vitae (CVs) for proposed key professional staff should |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | have original-pen signature. |
| 3.14 | Section 4 Terms of reference 4.1 | Bidder to decide and propose the total sample size for conducting any surveys, focused group discussions, drone survey or any other survey required to be conducted by the consultants for the fulfilment of the scope of works in each case, as per its technical proposal for each assignments, as applicable. | drone survey only or any other survey may be proposed? 2. In case of drone survey, please specify the project locations to be | proposal need to be part of the Bid proposal for ensuring better |

4. M/s. Total Synergy Consulting Email dated 31.03.2023 "FP on Tourism and Heritage" reg.

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|------------------------|---|--|
| 4.1 | | | We are very keen to bid for your Preparation of Functional Plans Project. Regarding the same, we have two queries mentioned below: 1. The RFQ-RFP document mentions that the qualification criteria is L1 based on QCBS. Does this mean that any firm which scores the qualifying 50% marks on technical criteria can be considered bid winner for the project in case they quote the lowest value? Request you to please clarify. | successful bidder is based on QCBS formula (80% Technical + 20% Financial weightage). |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | | | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications | |
|-------|--|------------------------|--|---|--|---|-----------------------|
| | | | amer to th | nd the core | e team com | you to please position table es have been | |
| 4.2 | | | S. No | Expertise | Current mentioned Qualificati ons and Experience | Proposed Changes in Qualifications and Experience | Request not accepted. |
| | | | 1 | Urban/ Regional Planner (Team Leader) | Masters Degree in Planning with 10 Years of Experience | Masters Degree in Planning or relevant field with 10 Years of Experience | |
| | | | 2 | Tourism Planning and Developm ent Expert (Deputy Team Leader) | Masters Degree in Tourism with 07 Years of Experience | Masters Degree in Tourism or relevant field with 07 Years of Experience | |
| | | | 4 | Tourism Travel Managem ent Expert (02 Nos.) | Masters Degree in Tourism and Travel Marketing Manageme nt with 05 Years of Experience | Masters Degree in Tourism and Travel Marketing Management or relevant field with 05 Years of Experience | |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | | | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications | |
|-------|--|------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | 5 | Tourism Hospitalit y Expert | Masters Degree in Tourism and Hospitality Manageme nt with 05 Years of Experience | Masters Degree in Tourism and Hospitality Management or relevant field with 05 Years of Experience | |
| | | | 6 | New Tourism Avenues Experts | Masters Degree in Tourism and Hospitality Manageme nt with 05 Years of Experience | Masters Degree in Tourism and Hospitality Management or relevant field with 05 Years of Experience | |
| | | | 7 | Finance & PPP Expert | Masters Degree in Infrastructu re Planning/ Finance/ MBA/ CA degree with 05 Years of Experience | Masters Degree in Infrastructure Planning/ Finance/ MBA/ CA / CMA degree with 05 Years of Experience | |
| | | | 8 | Geographi c Informati on System (GIS) Profession al (02 Nos.) | Degree in GIS with 03 Years of Experience | Degree in GIS or relevant field with 03 Years of Experience | |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Poi | Points of clarification/ consideration | | | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|------------------------|---|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | | | 9 | Planning Profession al | Degree in Planning with 03 Years of Experience | Degree in Planning or relevant field with 03 Years of Experience | |
| | | | 10 | Tourism, Culture and Heritage Sector Profession al | Degree in Tourism, Culture, Heritage sector with 03 Year of Experience | Degree in Tourism, Culture, Heritage sector or relevant field with 03 Year of Experience | |
| | | | We would be most grateful if you could incorporate the changes. | | | | |

5. M/s. Egis India Pvt. Ltd. Email dated 04.04.2023 "Functional Plan for Tourism& Heritage" reg.

| S. No | (Section/ Page No.) | | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|
| 5.1 | NOTICE | 6. The prospective Bidding Entities | We request the Client to allow Indian | It was clarified that |
| | INVITING | are required to submit the following | subsidiary of International Consulting | Agreement of |
| | TENDER; Point | documents along with the bid:- | organisation to use its group companies' | Consortium has to be |
| | No 6 (iii); Page No | Supporting Documents: | credentials for qualification without | submitted, in any case. |
| | 5 | (ix) Agreement of Consortium, as | forming joint venture with its | |
| | | applicable. Maximum two (02) | parent/subsidiary/associate (Group | |
| | | Consulting Firms can jointly | Company). | |
| | | participate in the form of JV / | | |
| | | Consortium. | We also understand that an | |
| | | | unincorporated Joint Venture (JV)/ | |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | Consortium of two entities are allowed to jointly participate, please confirm. | |
| 5.2 | Section-2, Clause 2.1(ii); Page No 7 | 2.1 Intention (ii) Letter of Award (LoA) for work will be limited to maximum two Functional Plans for a single bidding entity, as per the priorities indicted in the submissions by the applicant/bidding entity. Bidders, who have been considered for two Functional Plan proposals will not be considered for final selection for rest of the Functional Plan works, even if their bid is L1, as per criteria. | Looking at the prestigious nature of the proposed assignments where one consulting organisation should not involve in preparation of more than one Functional Plan; however, participation of a consulting firm in all sector as per highest competence/ preference during tendering stage would be solicited. We would like to suggest for putting restriction of award of one Functional Plan to a single bidding entity by shortlisting five different firm for the remaining Functional Plans. Revised criteria would be considered as suggested below: (ii) Letter of Award (LoA) for work will be limited to one Functional Plans for a single bidding entity, as per the priorities indicted in the submissions by the applicant/ bidding entity. Bidders, who have been considered for one Functional Plan proposal will not be considered for final selection for rest of the Functional Plan works, even if their bid is L1, as per criteria. | No change in the existing clause of the RFQ-cum-RFP. |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|--|--|---|
| | | | Note: Consultants who are already selected for Functional Plan preparation by NCRPB are not eligible to participate for the for rest of the Functional Plan works. | |
| 5.3 | Section-3, Clause 3.1 (xxiii); Page No 11 & GCC Clause 1.8 of Stand. Form of Contract; Page No. 7 | (xxiii) Performance guarantee @ 3 % of contract value valid for period of 14 months beyond the approval of final report shall be submitted by successful bidding entity within 15 days of LoA acceptance or before contract signing, whichever is earlier. In any case, performance guarantee has to be duly submitted before release of first stage of payment. The EMD of successful entity will thereafter be released by the client. | We observed that GCC clause and RFP Clause are contradict for the amount and validity period of performance guarantee, thus request rectification in the Standard Form of Contract for performance guarantee of 3 % of contract value valid for period of 14 months beyond the approval of final report. | The Performance Guarantee may be read as 3% of the contract value with validity for a period of 14 months beyond the approval of final report, at all places wherever mentioned in the tender document. |
| 5.4 | Section-4, Clause 4.1 (V) Functional Plan for Tourism and Heritage, Sub- Clause 1.2 (4); Page No 45 | 4 Tourism Trave Markers Degree Tourism Planning and Expert Travel Development Development (02 Nos.) Marketing Tourism with S+8 2+2 Management mith (05 Years of and Experience Development Dev | As there are limited professional available with the desired qualification, we therefore, request the Client to consider Masters in Tourism and Travel Marketing/Urban Planning/ Urban Design or equivalent qualification with specified experience for this position. | Request not accepted. |
| 5.5 | Section-4, Clause 4.1 (V) Functional Plan for Tourism and Heritage, Sub- Clause 1.2 (5); Page No 45 | S. Tourism Markets Degree Tourism and Hospitality Hospitality Hospitality Management with Of Years of Human S 2 Experience Experience Financing S 2 Resource Financing and Tourism Development | As there are limited professional available with the desired qualification, we therefore, request the client to consider Masters in Hospitality Management/ MBA or equivalent qualification for this position. | |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications | |
|-------|--|---|---|--|
| 5.6 | Section-4, Clause 4.1 (V) Functional Plan for Tourism and Heritage, Sub- Clause 1.2 (6); Page No 45 | 6. New Tourism Avenues in Tourism and Hoopitality Experts Hoopitality Management with 05 Years of Experience Experience Planning and Tourism Development | As there are limited professional available with the desired qualification, we therefore, request the client to consider Masters in Hospitality Management/ MBA/ Masters in Urban Planning or equivalent qualification for this position. | |
| 5.7 | Section 9: Clause No 2.6, Page No 9 | 2.6 Termination Dispute about event of termination: This clause is missing. | As per the accepted best principal for consultancy contract, we request the Client to include additional Clause regarding Dispute about event of termination, may consider as suggested below: If either Party disputes whether an event specified in Clause-2.6 of "termination of contract" hereof has occurred, such Party may, within forty-five (45) days after receipt of notice of termination from the other Party, refer the matter to arbitration pursuant to SCC hereof, and this Contract shall not be terminated on account of such event except in accordance with the terms of any resulting arbitral award | It was clarified that Clause 7 of Section II of the "Standard Form of Contract" be read which is specific to "Settlement of Disputes". |
| 5.8 | Section 9; Clause No 3.4: Page No 11 | 3.4 Insurance to be taken out by the Consultant The Consultants (a) shall take out and maintain, and shall cause any Subconsultants to take out and maintain, at their (or the Sub-consultants', as the | Requesting the client to limit the liability of the consultants to the limit of contract period or to a maximum of 14 months after the approval of the final report. | (As per updated Standard Form of Contract uploaded on |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|---|--|---|
| | | case may be) own cost but on terms | | NCRPB's website, |
| | | and conditions approved by the Client, insurance against the risks, and for the | | clause 3.4 depicts the validity of professional |
| | | coverage, as specified below; and (b) | | liability insurance as 14 |
| | | shall provide evidence to the Client | | months after approval of |
| | | showing that such insurance has been | | final report by the client, |
| | | taken out and maintained and that the | | hence no further change |
| | | current premiums have been paid. | | is required. |
| | | Professional liability insurance, with a | | |
| | | minimum coverage equal to total | | |
| | | contract value for this consultancy and | | |
| | | valid for a period of 24 months after | | |
| | | approval of final report by the client. | | |
| | | The amount in Indian currency is Rs. | | |
| | | (Rupees only). | | |

6. M/s. Citiyano De Solutions Pt. Ltd. Email dated 07.04.2023 "Functional Plan for Tourism And Heritage" reg.

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 1. | ➤ Page 44, | Tourism Planning and Development | It is requested to include qualification of | Request not accepted. |
| | > Table II- Core | Expert (Deputy Team Leader) - | Masters/PG in Urban Planning also with | |
| | Team | Qualification-Masters Degree in | relevant work experience. As the current | |
| | composition, | Tourism with 07 Years of | qualifications are very specific. | |
| | > Serial No. 2: | Experience | | |
| 2. | ➤ Page 45, | Tourism Travel Management Expert | | Request not accepted. |
| | > Table II- Core | Qualification- Masters Degree in | | |
| | Team | Tourism and Travel Marketing | | |
| | composition, | | | |

| | > Serial No. 4: | Management with 05 Years of | | |
|----|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Experience | | |
| 3. | ➤ Page 45, | Tourism Hospitality Expert | It is requested to include | Request not accepted. |
| | > Table II- Core | Qualification- Masters Degree in | Masters/PG in Urban Planning / | |
| | Team | Tourism and Hospitality | MBA in Hospitality management/ MBA | |
| | composition, | Management with 05 Years of | in Event Management/ with relevant | |
| | > Serial No. 5: | Experience | work experience. As the current | |
| 4. | ➤ Page 45, | New Tourism Avenues Expert | qualifications are very specific. | |
| | > Table II- Core | Qualification- Masters Degree in | | |
| | Team | Tourism and Hospitality | | |
| | composition, | Management with 05 Years of | | |
| | > Serial No. 6: | Experience | | |

7. M/s. Ernst & Young LLP Email dated 31.03.2023 "Functional Plan for Smart and Digital NCR Sector" reg.

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|---|--|--|
| 7.1 | Section 3.14.3 | The proposed Key Professionals shall | Does the ask refer to regular meetings | As and when required, |
| | Page 21 | be in regular contact with NCRPB. | of the assigned key professionals and not on-site deployment for the full duration of the project? | the proposed Key Professionals will required to be in contact with NCRPB during the contract period. |
| 7.2 | 1.2 Required Expertise Page 30 | Table VI-1: Core Team Composition for Functional Plan for Smart and Digital NCR Sector Consulting Bidding entity Full-time | Since this is a milestone-based project (as per Section 3.2 Deliverables and Time/ Payment Schedule), the defined personnel will be required only for technical evaluation and do not need to be mandatorily deployed? | Request is not accepted. |
| 7.3 | 4.1 SCOPE OF WORK Page 26 | Mapping including Drone survey has to be carried out by the successful bidder. | Is the requirement to conduct Drone Surveys mandatory or the same will rest with the selected agency? | Any types of mapping and survey including Drone survey has to be carried out by the successful bidder. |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|--|---|---|
| 7.4 | NA | NA | What is the approximate budget for this engagement for Functional Plan for Smart and Digital NCR? | There is no pre-fixed approximate budget. |
| 7.5 | 1.2 Required Expertise Page 30 | Table VI-1: Core Team Composition for Functional Plan for Smart and Digital NCR Sector Consulting Bidding entity | Profiles may be made open by modifying qualification requirements for some of the profiles and asking for relevant experience instead. For eg: • Digital Infrastructure Expert (Team Leader) – considering the team lead role, MBA or equivalent may be added as qualification • Smart City /Utilities Expert – may reduce the requirement for Bachelor/Master Degree in any discipline, with experience in working with smart cities/ smart city related • Sandbox Professional Expert • Software Applications developer – may reduce the experience from 5 to 3 years, and the requirement for Smart city planning may be removed since it's more of a technical profile • IT Management Expert – B.Tech may also be added as it is equivalent to MCA or M.Sc. IT. Even the years of experience may be revised for B.Tech/MCA/B.Sc as 7 years while for M.Tech it may be 5 years | Request not accepted. |
| 7.6 | 4.1 SCOPE OF WORK Page 25 | Primary data and Studies as will be necessary for detailing of respective functional plan | What kind and how many primary surveys is NCRPB proposing to be conducted? | Any kind of survey proposal need to be part of the Bid proposal for |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | ensuring better consulting service. Bidder to decide and prepare the sample size of any such survey. |

8. M/s. REPL, Email dated 10.04.2023

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | | | Ŧ | Points of clarification/ consideration | | | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications | | |
|-------|--|------------------------|--|---|------------------|---|------------------|------------------------|--|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 8.1 | | | | | for C w | Considering the technicalities and size of bid, some more time will be required for the preparation of Qualitative and Competitive bidding document. Hence, we request you to kindly extend the tender submission date by 15 more days after receiving of Pre-bid query response. | | | The last date & time of submission extended till 04-05-2023: 05.00 PM. | | |
| 8.2 | Section 3: Information to Bidding Entities Clause 3.14.3: Evaluation of Technical Proposal Page No.: 20 & 21 | No . 1 | Qualifyi ng Criteria Average Audited Turnove r of the Bidding Entity /Consorti um Lead of at-least 03 of last five consecuti ve financial | Board approved audited account statement duly signed by CMD/MD/CA/Authoriz ed signatory . | Maximum Marks |] | Sl. N N o. | Qualifying Criteria | Docume nt Proof | Maxim um Marks | Request not accepted. |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | | | Points of clarification/ consideration | | | | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications | |
|-------|--|------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|---|-------------------------------|--|
| | | | years should be minimum Rs.50 lakh per year. | | | 1 | Average Audited Turnover of the Bidding Entity / Consortium Lead of at-least 03 of last five consecutive financial years should be | Board approved audited account statemen t duly signed by CMD/ MD/CA/ | 10 | |
| | | 2. | Similar Assignm ents / Studies | Copy of the Letter of Award (LoA) | | | minimum Rs. 50 lakh per year. | Authoriz ed signatory | | |
| | | | (a2) No. of Studies | (LOTI) | 10 | 2 | Similar Assignments / Studies | | | |
| | | | (02 marks each) | | 10 | | (a1) No. of Studies (05 marks each) | | 10 | |
| | | | marks per Assignme nt/Study in case involving a Plan formulati on for the sector for which being bidded | | 10 | | (a2) 2.5 marks per Assignment/ Study in case involving a Plan formulation for the sector for which being bidded (upto maximum 04 assignment) | | 10 | |
| | | | (upto maximu m 05 marks) | | | | (a3) 2.5 marks per Assignment/Stud y in case involving GIS based Plan formulation for | | 10 | |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | | | ints of clarification | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications | | |
|-------|--|--|----|---|---|-------------------------------|-----|--|
| | | (a3) 02 marks per Assignme nt/Study in case involving GIS based Plan formulati on for the sector for which being bidded (upto maximu m 05 marks) (b) Value of Assignm ents(Max imum 05 assignme nts) Below Rs. 25 lakh – (01 marks per Assignme nt) More than Rs. 25 lakh upto Rs. 50 lakh – (1.5 marks per | 10 | 3 | the sector for which being bidded (upto maximum 04 assignment) (b) Value of Assignments(M aximum 05 assignments) Below Rs. 25 lakh – (01 marks per Assignment) More than Rs. 25 lakh upto Rs. 50 lakh – (1.5 marks per Assignment) Above Rs. 50 lakh – (02 marks per Assignment) Qualifications and competence of the key professional staff for the assignment [refer Core Team Composition, qualification and weightage for key professionals of respective sector under Section-3 para 3.1 (b)] | | 25* | |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RF | Poir | nts of clarification/ consid | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications | |
|-------|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| | | Above Rs. 50 lakh – (02 marks per Assignme nt) 3 Qualifica tions and compete nce of the key professio nal staff for the assignme nt [refer Core Team Composit ion, qualificat ion and weightag e for key professio nals of respectiv e sector under Section-3 para 3.1 (b)] | Sub-Plan cons (iii) Delh can v be in office | Technical Presentation of Proposal of the Bidding Entity Brief Note/ Proposal including: | elopment also be office in fessional hey shall NCRPB | |

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content o | of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|---|---|---|--|-------------------------------|
| | | 4 Technica I Presenta tion of Proposal of the Bidding Entity Brief Note/ Proposal including : • Comme nts & Suggesti ons of ToR • Suggest ed methodo logy • Overvie w of propose d Work Plan | 25 | | |
| | | Note: (ii) If Consultance sectors is done of such sector for a comparable to Noweightage will be entities. (iii) The selected ensure the deployer of sectors and sectors is done of such sector for a comparable to Noweightage will be entities. | cy Assignments in similar or if any Plan prepared in State or geographical area ICR, preference or higher be given for such bidding ed bidding entity has to byment of proposed Key entioned above for the located assignment under | | |

| S. | No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|----|----|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| | | | this tender till the approval of final stage of this assignment. | | |

9. M/s. DGM Infra & Consulting Pvt. Ltd. Email dated 10.04.2023 for Functional Plan(s) for Dairy, Tourism and Heritage, Health, Education and Skill Development, and Smart & Digital Sector

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|--|--|--|
| 9.1 | Reference & Page | Subject | Suggestions | Request is not accepted. |
| | No. | • Core Team Composition for | 1. Team leader should be common on | |
| | 4.1 | Functional Plan for Education and | 1 3 | |
| | V, Table V-1 | Skill Development Sector assignment Bidding entity | 2. Team Composition and deployment should be on flexible basis. | |
| | IV, Table IV-1 | Core Team Composition for Functional Plan on Health Sector assignment Bidding entity Core Team Composition for Functional Plan on Tourism and | 3. Team List can be common for similar post if applied for 2 or more projects | |
| | III, Table II-1 | Heritage sector assignment Bidding entity | | |
| | Page No. 35, 40 and 44 | | | |
| 9.2 | | | Kindly please consider these points and extend submission date at least one week after the publication of pre bid queries. | The last date & time of submission extended till 04-05-2023: 05.00 PM. |

10. M/s. IPE Global Email dated 11.04.2023 Functional Plan for Health Sector – reg.

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|------------------------|--|--|
| 10.1 | | | Please extend the submission deadline of "Preparation of functional Plans" | The last date & time of submission extended till |
| | | | by one week. Looking forward for your positive response! | 04-05-2023: 05.00 PM. |

11. M/s. IPE Global Email dated 11.04.2023 Functional Plan for Tourism & Heritage Sector- reg.

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 11.1 | | | We would like to request you to kind provide at least 15 days' time period from the date of per bid response to submit the bid. This will allow us to | submission extended till |
| | | | make our proposal in consonance with the pre-bid response | |

12. M/s. LEA Associates South Asia Pvt. Ltd. dated 11.04.2023

| S. No | RFQ-cum-RFP Document Reference (s) (Section/ Page No.) | Content of RFQ cum RFP | Points of clarification/ consideration | NCRPB Reply/Clarifications |
|-------|--|---|---|-------------------------------|
| 12.1 | Notice Inviting Tender. Tender schedule, page 4 | Last date & time of submission of Bid Date: 18th April 2023, Time 5.00 pm | • As per the standard bidding process, we request at least 3 weeks of time from the date of issue of pre-bid clarifications to prepare a fully responsive proposal. Kindly consider. | |

12.2 Section-3: **3.2 Deliverables and Time/ Payment** The payment to the consultant shall be Please refer clarification Information made on approval of the deliverable at S.No. 2.1 on Page No. Schedule iii) The payment will become due on Bidding Entities. submitted. As per the mentioned clause 5. page 13 & page 14 approval of the stage report and on 3.4 penalty shall be levied for each raising of bills/invoice by the delayed payment. successful bidding entity after the approval of the stage report. The However, it may be noted that the processing time of the payment will be content of subsequent deliverables shall be dependent on the preceding one. 90 days for final payment and 45 days for all other payments. Consultative Therefore the delay in approval of one deliverable will result in delayed process and statutory committee meeting is involved which is time submission of the next. Therefore, we taking. request the Client Agency to review the 3.4 Procedure for Monitoring & penalty clause on delay in submissions on account of the consultants. **Review of the Assignment** (iii) In case of delay in submission of the above mentioned deliverables as per given schedule, a penalty of 0.5% per week of delay subject to maximum of 10% of the payment due in this regard shall be levied. Getting timely clearances from all concerned agency and departments shall be the responsibility successful bidder. (iv) If the report submitted by the successful bidding entity is found deficient in the requisite quality, the approving authority of NCRPB can accept the report while considering other factors with a penalty of up to 10% of the payment due in this regard to the successful bidding entity. This shall be independent to penalty referred to in point 3.4 (iii) above.